## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

Emilio Burton Craig, Sr.,	)	C/A NO. 9:11-2090-CMC-BM
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	<b>OPINION and ORDER</b>
v.	)	
	)	
Director Mitch Stanley of Darlington Cour	nty)	
Detention Center, individually and in his	)	
official capacity,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	_ )	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On March 20, 2012, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Defendant's motion for summary judgment be granted. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on April 10, 2012.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is

made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28

U.S.C. § 636(b).

After conducting a *de novo* review as to objections made, and considering the record, the

applicable law, and the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with

the findings of the Report. Therefore, the court adopts the Report by reference in this Order.

Plaintiff disagrees with the Report's recommendation and contends he is "trying to do my

best to make [the court] understand the facts." Obj. at 1. However, these objections do not

specifically address alleged infirmities in the Report. Therefore, Defendant's motion for summary

judgment is **granted** and this case is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina April 12, 2012

2